Module 2 overview

lecture

- 1. Introduction to the module
- 2. Rational protein design
- 3. Fluorescence and sensors

- lab
- 1. Start-up protein eng.
- 2. Site-directed mutagenesis
- 3. DNA amplification

SPRING BREAK

- 4. Protein expression
- 5. Purification and protein analysis
- 6. Binding & affinity measurements
- 7. High throughput engineering

- 4. Prepare expression system
- 5. Induce protein
- 6. Characterize expression
- 7. Assess protein function

Lecture 2: Rational protein design

- I. "Blob-level" protein design
 - A. Engineered fusion proteins
 - B. Knowledge required for blob-level engineering
- II. Protein engineering at high resolution
 - A. Modifying existing proteins
 - B. De novo protein engineering
 - C. Knowledge needed for high-resolution design
 - D. Computational modeling

Rational protein design:

Knowldege-based, deterministic engineering of proteins with novel characteristics



"Irrational" high throughput protein engineering:

Selection for desired properties from libraries of random variants

"Blob-level" protein design

- Basic idea is to combine protein units of defined function (domains) to engineer a fusion protein with novel functionality
- Examples include sensors, signal transduction components, transcription factors, therapeutics, *etc.*



note: "blob-level" design is not a technical term...

GFP-based approaches extend to other sensors:



Ting et al. (2001) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 15003-8

Can you think of other sensors one could construct based on this design strategy?

An early "synthetic biology" project-signal transduction triggered by a small molecule dimerizing agent:



Spencer et al. (1993) Science 262: 1019-24

Engineered antibodies as therapeutic agents:



Jain et al. (2007) Trends Biotechnol. 25: 307-16

What knowledge is required for "blob-level" protein engineering?:

- rough geometry of protein domains (low resolution structure)
- secondary structure, if insertions or disruptions are planned
- desired linker properties (length, flexibility, hydrophilicity)

Example: CaM-based calcium sensors



 Met 1
 Leu 195
 YC3.12
 M13

 Gln 157
 Gln 157
 YC3.20
 Venus

 YC3.20
 Venus
 YC3.20
 YC3.20

 YC3.30
 cp49Venus
 YC3.60
 cp157Venus

 Thr 49
 Asp 173
 cp173Venus

Nagai et al. (2004) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 10554-9

O H₂N—CH−C—OH H

glycine (flexible)





What we've called "blob-level" design is useful for combining functionalities associated with individual protein domains—but what if we want to create new functionalities or make subtle manipulations?



"Which brings us to my next point."

Rational protein engineering "at high resolution"

- Alter/tune properties of proteins by making structurally or computationally informed changes at the amino acid level
- In some cases, produce entirely new proteins based on predictions of structure and function from amino acid sequence
- Can be "rational" when combined with structural information and/or computational modeling approaches
- Can be "irrational" when combined with high throughput screening and random mutagenesis (to be discussed later in the module)

This is what we are doing in the lab for this module!

- 1. We looked at the CaM & GFP structures and made predictions about which point mutations would shift the calcium affinity of pericam.
- 2. We are now going to produce the mutant genes and proteins, and assay purified molecules for desired properties.
- 3. If we had more time, we might then go on and make a new round of predictions/mutant proteins, to continue the process of tuning the calcium affinity.

Example: improving antibody affinity for targets



Lippow et al. (2007) Nat. Biotechnol. 25: 1171-6

Rational design can also be used to stabilize proteins-general route to improvement of function/utility



http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kK8qkejFwCc



Perry & Wetzel (1984) Science 226: 555-7

The "holy grail" of rational engineering is to design entire proteins *de novo* to fold into a defined shape (and ideally carry out a function)

Amino Acid	$f_{\alpha}{}^{b}$	$P_{\alpha}{}^{c}$	fai ^b	$P_{\alpha i}{}^e$	f_{β}^{b}	$P_{\beta}{}^{c}$	$f_{e}{}^{b}$	Pec
Ala	0.522	1.45	0.272	1.59	0.167	0.97	0.311	0.66
Arg	0.282	0.79	0.115	0.67	0.154	0.90	0.564	1.20
Asn	0.263	0.73	0.090	0.53	0.113	0.65	0.624	1.33
Asp	0.351	0.98	0.090	0.53	0.137	0.80	0.514	1.09
Cys	0.278	0.77	0.056	0.33	0.222	1,30	0.500	1.07
		<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>			•	
					-	-	-	

Simplest task is to design peptides with defined 2° structure

Related task is to predict 2° structure from sequence

ha] i v	MADQLTEEQIAEFKEAFSLFDKDGDGTITTKELGTVMRSLGQNPTEAELQDMINEVDADG										
sheet	EEEEEEEE T TT	т	·-> т т	EEEE	EEEEEE		<>	EEEEE	> Е т		
cuins											
holiv	NGTIDFPEFLTMMARKMKDTDSEEEIREAFRVFDKDGNGYISAAELRHVMTNLGEKLTDE										
sheet	EEEEEEEE				>		EEEEEEE	EEEE			
turns	TT	Т	ТТ	Т	Т	Т		Т	TT		
	EVDEMIREADIDGDGQVNYEEFVQMMTAK										
helix	> <>										
sheet											
cuilis	1	1									

Chou & Fasman (1974) Biochemistry 13: 222-45

De novo design can be extended to 3° and 4° structure. Example is design of a functional enzyme from so-called coil-coil peptides:



g abcdefg abcdefg abcdefg abcdefg abcdefg abcd DF_{tet}A: Ac-K LKELKSK LKELLK<u>L</u> ELQ<u>A</u>IKQ YKELKAE LKEL-CONH₂ DF_{tet}A_a: Ac-E LKELKSE LKELLK<u>L</u> ELQ<u>A</u>IKQ FKELKAE LKEL-CONH₂ DF_{tet}A_b: Ac-K LKKLKSR LKKLLK<u>L</u> ELQ<u>A</u>IHQ YKKLKAR LKKL-CONH₂ DF_{tet}B: Ac-E LEELESE LEKILED EERHIEW LEKLEAK LEKL-CONH₂

Kaplan & Degrado (2004) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 101: 11566-70



What knowledge is required for "high-resolution" protein engineering?:

- determination of 3D structure, for mutagenesis-based engineering
- knowledge of protein folding rules for *de novo* engineering
- computational modeling techniques usually required

Computational methods important for protein engineering:

- modeling & visualization
- energy/thermodynamic calculations
- searching conformation and sequence spaces
- comparison with known protein structures/sequences

The basis of more automated analysis of structural perturbations than our own "inspect and try" approach involves use of an energy function to evaluate plausibility of candidate structures:

$$E_{tot} = E_{bond} + E_{angl} + E_{dihe} + E_{impr} + E_{VDW} + E_{elec} + E_{Hbond} + \dots$$

This may be evaluated using a force field (*e.g.* CHARMM19) and atomic coordinates available from simulation or modified PDB file.

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zWq4UG2IzAE